



Audiovisual and Multimedia Section
Training workshop
AV Collections for non-specialist librarians
San Juan, 2011.08.16

Access:
on site, online
metadata
access formats

James M Turner



Université de Montréal
Faculté des arts et des sciences
École de bibliothéconomie et des sciences de l'information

Outline

- Introduction
- On-site access
- Online access
- Metadata
- Access formats

Introduction

- Preservation and access : associated concepts
- Different kinds of access:
 - to physical object
 - to a particular part of an object (one song, a scene)
 - to a file on a computer or on the web
 - subject access, intellectual access
- We will look at access on site, online, metadata for access, and formats for access

On-site access

- For audiovisual materials, you need space and equipment
- Space:
 - costs money
 - is the space available needed more for other purposes?
 - staff need to manage, supervise, help with machines
 - a/v materials have sound, so users need cabins or earphones (alternately: they bring their own)
- Unlike books, all a/v materials require equipment for consulting

Equipment

- What equipment you have depends on:
 - what a/v materials you have
 - what you can afford to buy & maintain
- Using the equipment:
 - staff mount the a/v materials for viewing/listening
 - users mount their own materials, staff train them
 - cleaning, reporting malfunctions, repairs
 - service contracts or trained staff

Viewing / listening

- Preservation copies, viewing copies:
 - what kind of collection you have (deposit, public)
 - series of generations: master, sub-master, viewing copy
 - managing these copies, responsibility for making them
 - master copies should never or rarely be projected
 - no master = periodically replace viewing copy

Digital copies

- Digital materials have many advantages:
 - copies are easy to make
 - quality is maintained from one copy to the next
 - same equipment (a computer) for movies, video, sound
 - less training, users may already know how to use
 - you can use a web interface
 - computers relatively cheap to buy, maintain
 - Several options for organising
-

Options for organising digital copies

- Server
 - copies are stored together, file format can be standard
 - security easier to maintain
 - users never touch the materials, can't damage them
 - costs lower than managing analogue materials
 - Hard disk
 - relatively small, inexpensive
 - storage costs relatively low (<\$100/Terabyte)
 - reliable, less handling, less damage than DVDs
 - DVD (next slide)
-

Options for organising digital copies

- DVD
 - relatively cheap
 - buy commercial films, music
 - if you hold the rights, record your own films, sound
 - careful labelling, protection necessary
 - For all organising options:
 - work out a timetable for replacing equipment
 - organise servicing the equipment
 - make sure staff are trained adequately
-

Online access

- If you already have a server for on-site access, you can use it for online access too
 - A separate server is desirable (better security)
 - One staff member as system administrator, or a service contract with a company
 - Integrate with the library web site
 - A decision: public, or members only?
-

Similar to in-house digital a/v

- If you already have digital copies for in-house use, most of the issues are the same
 - buying and maintaining equipment, materials
 - procedures for making copies
 - staff and user training
 - file formats determined by access policy
-

Good for statistics

- Online access will improve statistics:
 - number of users
 - number of times each document is consulted
 - collect data on user satisfaction
 - Provide arguments for budget, service:
 - increased visibility
 - available any time, day or night
 - lower equipment, service costs = more acquisitions?
 - free up staff for other tasks
-

Learn and set up

- This is no longer new territory
 - Lots of models available
 - Lots of information about what to do and what NOT to do
 - Experience of other libraries, colleagues helps you avoid mistakes
 - Choose a good project leader to ensure success
-

Metadata

- Importance of metadata
 - Kinds of metadata
 - Costs
 - Compromises
 - Metadata for access
-

Importance of metadata

- Metadata (information about the a/v materials you collect) is essential for:
 - knowing what you have (inventory, catalogue)
 - finding anything (storing, retrieving)
 - communicating with users, colleagues, systems
 - making acquisitions, using, preserving
 - comparing different versions, recordings
-

Kinds of metadata

- Many, many kinds exist
 - What you need depends on what you collect, who uses the collection
 - What you can afford depends on staff, budget
-

Metadata services

- For films & tv on DVD, catalogue services like OCLC have the records
 - Also for commercially recorded music
 - Staff may have to adjust these records
 - Original cataloguing for other materials
 - Simple indexing often ok (genre, audience, general subject categories)
 - Can users help, for example by adding tags?
-

Costs associated with metadata

- Lots of initial work to decide what to use
 - You start from zero, expensive at first
 - Cost for updating, adding new metadata as needed
 - Don't add more than you need
 - Automate as much as possible
 - Read, talk with colleagues elsewhere about developments
-

Compromises

- Formerly, rigorous standards
 - Now, much more flexibility because of the web
 - Cataloguers are rigorous by nature, but detailed cataloguing is expensive now
 - How much or how little do you need?
 - Are simple standards like the Dublin Core ok for your collection?
 - Is simple indexing good enough?
-

How things have changed

- Formerly, fewer photos, more detailed metadata
 - Now, digital photos easier to take, too many to catalogue individually
 - Lots of commercial movie and tv production, but also YouTube, Vimeo, Daily Motion, etc.
 - Musicians no longer need the record companies to publish their creations
 - Film and video makers no longer need the studios
 - Do we still need the librarians?
-

More changes

- Artists can have their own web site
 - Remix of commercial recorded music
 - Video mashups
 - Rights management, use, other issues difficult
 - Google is used to search for everything
 - Tagging instead of indexing
-

Metadata for access

- Metadata for physical / digital access
 - Metadata for intellectual access
-

Physical/digital access

- This has to do with finding physical pieces or locating files in a database or on a computer
 - Physical access: a call number, an address on a shelf
 - Digital access:
 - searchable fields in a database (title, actors, running time...)
 - predictable organisation, names for file folders, files
 - an archival classification
-

Intellectual access

- Like for text, messy and not so predictable
 - This is because interpretation can be different from one person to the next
 - When materials are networked, we don't know the users so well
-

User needs

- Intellectual access for different collections:
 - art collections: artist, school, genre, theme...
 - documentary collections: who, what, how, when, where
 - ordinary photos: tags to name the objects, actions
 - Indexing should meet user needs:
 - art collections: controlled vocabulary, authority lists, standardised tools (e.g. *Art & architecture thesaurus*, *Iconclass*)
 - documentary collections: in-house subject list, proper names important
 - ordinary photos: tags, *Thesaurus for graphic materials*
-

Intellectual access to sound

- For published music, use existing vocabularies:
 - publisher's, musicologist's catalogue for classical music
 - categories: jazz, pop, rock...
 - composer, musicians, titles
 - For readings of plays, poetry, speeches, etc. :
 - cataloguing, subject headings, indexing as for books
 - Stock sounds, sound effects for movies, etc. :
 - describe with words: "dog barking", "thunder"
-

Access formats

- Different file formats
 - Preservation formats
 - Access formats
-

Different file formats

- With all digital materials, we need different file formats for preservation than for access
 - For preservation:
 - formats that will last a long time
 - open source, W3C recommendation, public, widely used
 - uncompressed data
 - For access:
 - depends on user needs
 - more flexible, a variety of formats ok
 - compression ok
-

Preservation formats for audio

- As we saw in the audio module, .wav, BWF, MBWF / RF64 are recommended because they are the closest thing to a standard
 - Physical carrier: hard disk, tape, LTO tape (better)
 - For the short term, CD or DVD ok
-

Preservation formats for video

- For archiving production collections, such as a tv archive:
 - MXF (metadata wrapper) + JPEG2000
 - physical carrier: LTO tape
 - For mixed library collections:
 - Already compressed, probably acquired on DVD
 - Preserve this copy, replace when damaged
 - National library: preservation copy, distribution copy
-

A word on Motion JPEG

- Everyone is familiar with JPEG
 - JPEG2000 is an improvement on JPEG:
 - compression can be reversed, no loss of data
 - came after JPEG was already widely used, so people don't know about it
 - Motion JPEG /Motion JPEG2000 is a way to compress moving images:
 - each frame of a film or video is compressed like a photo
 - much better quality than MPEG2, which destroys much of the data
-

Digital materials not permanent

- No stable physical carriers for digital files
 - So digital materials can never “reside” anywhere for very long
 - They are somewhat “homeless”, have no fixed address
 - Digital preservation activities revolve around keeping the files “alive”, readable
-

A word on digital preservation

- With digital materials, don't try to preserve the physical carrier (tape, disc)
 - Instead, copy to new carrier from time to time (“refreshing”)
 - Move to new format, new version as necessary (“migration”)
 - Keep the material “alive” so newer computers, operating systems, software can still play it
-

A word on digital master copies

- The original or “master” copy is the one to preserve
 - But with a/v materials, what this means exactly is not clear
 - One way to understand it: the master copy is the best one you have
 - Since digital copying makes an exact copy, copies are identical
 - However, different “generations” for access
-

Access formats

- Ideally, the original or “master” copy is not compressed (but: JPEG2000 is ok)
 - Access formats can be compressed because they are not used for preservation
 - Different degrees, types of compression
 - Different formats, depending on user needs
-

One model (e.g. for an a/v archive)

- Master copy (not compressed)
 - Submaster copy (not compressed)
 - Access copy (compressed a little)
 - Access copy (compressed more)
 - Access copy (compressed more, for web)
-

Example: a photograph

- Master copy (untouched)
 - Submaster copy (used to make access copies)
 - Access copy (to use in a book, little compression)
 - Access copy (to use in a presentation more compression ok)
 - Access copy (to use on the web, highly compressed)
-

Compressing access copies

- Creates smaller file sizes
 - More flexibility with physical carriers
 - Users have different needs
 - Lower quality helps protect ownership, copyright
 - On the web, small file sizes means:
 - faster transmission time across the net
 - shorter time to load the file to your computer
 - smoother viewing, listening, no pauses
-

Access formats for photos

- JPEG
 - JPEG2000
 - GIF
 - PNG
-

Access formats for moving images

- Recommended
 - .mp4 (MPEG 4)
 - .mov (QuickTime)
 - .wmv (Windows Media Video)
 - Acceptable
 - .swf (Macromedia Flash)
 - .flv (Flash Video)
 - .rm (Real Media)
-

Access formats for sound

- Recommended
 - .mp3 (MPEG 4)
 - .AIFF (Apple Computer)
 - .wav (Windows)
 - Acceptable
 - .ogg (Ogg Vorbis)
 - .flv (Macromedia Flash Video)
 - .ra (Real Audio)
-

Conclusion

- Everything changes: keep reading
 - Talk, visit with colleagues
 - The web a good source of information
-